



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/816,320

04/01/2004

Ramadas Lakshmikanth Pai

15472US02

9138

23446 7590 11/10/2009
MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD
500 WEST MADISON STREET
SUITE 3400
CHICAGO, IL 60661

EXAMINER

HOLDER, ANNER N

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2621

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/10/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/816,320	Applicant(s) PAI ET AL.	
	Examiner ANNER HOLDER	Art Unit 2621	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07/28/09.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4-9,11-15 and 17-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4-9,11-15 and 17-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 April 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 07/28/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As to Applicant's arguments the Examiner respectfully disagrees. Abelard teaches the logic determines whether the parameters received by the input are valid based on the picture type indicator received by the input. [col. 2 lines 50-56; col. 4 lines 25-34, 49-58]. The combination of Wise, Abelard and Kato teach pictures that are interlaced or progressive [Wise - ¶ 0133; 2819; 3037; 3057; 3968] / frame and field prediction [Kato - col. 31 lines 22-28; col. 32 lines 13-15, 40-42] reading upon the limitations as claimed. Regarding Applicant's argument concerning "comprises one or more bits, each of which are associated with a corresponding one or more motion vector registers, wherein the one or more bits are in a particular stat, based on whether the corresponding motion vector register stores a motion vector." Kim discloses 8 bit number in the residual value. [col. 5 line 57- col. 6 lines 20]

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 8-9, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wise et al. (Wise) US 2003/0156652 A1 in view of Abelard et al. US 7,130,526 B2 further in view of Kato US 5,701,164.

4. As to claim 1, Wise teaches a circuit for determining addresses for reference pixels, said circuit comprising: an input for receiving parameters, the parameters comprising a picture type indicator for indicating a type of a picture; [Pg. 51 ¶ 0682 Table A.3.2] and logic for determining whether the parameters received by the input are valid, [Fig. 23; Fig. 131; Fig. 127; Pg. 39 ¶ 0505, ¶ 0510; Pg. 142 ¶ 2073, ¶ 2079; Pg. 148 ¶ 2236] , whether the picture is progressive or interlaced. [Wise - ¶ 0133; 2819; 3037; 3057; 3968]

Wise does not explicitly the logic determines whether the parameters received by the input are valid based on the picture type indicator and the number of motion vectors received by the input.

Abelard teaches the logic determines whether the parameters received by the input are valid based on the picture type indicator received by the input. [col. 2 lines 50-56; col. 4 lines 25-34, 49-58]

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Abelard with device of wise allowing for improved coding and reproduction.

Wise (modified by Abelard) does not explicitly teach the logic determines whether the parameters received by the input are valid based on the number of motion vectors received by the input.

Kato teaches counting the number of motion vectors received by the input.
[abstract; fig. 2; fig. 6; col. 19 line 48 col. 20 line 3; col. 20 lines 40-55; col. 23 lines 4-41]

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Kato with the device of Wise (modified by Abelard) allowing for improved coding.

5. As to claim 2, Wise (modified by Abelard and Kato) teaches an arithmetic logic unit for calculating one or more addresses depending on whether the logic determines that the addresses are valid. [Fig. 23; Fig. 131; Fig. 127; Pg. 39 ¶ 0505, ¶ 0510; Pg. 142 ¶ 2073, ¶ 2079; Pg. 148 ¶ 2236].

6. As to claim 4, Wise (modified by Abelard and Kato) teaches a control register for providing the type of pictures and indicating the number of motion vectors received to the logic. [Wise - Fig. 23; Fig. 131; Fig. 127; Pg. 39 ¶ 0505, ¶ 0510; Pg. 142 ¶ 2073, ¶ 2079; Pg. 148 ¶ 2236; Abelard - col. 2 lines 50-56; col. 4 lines 25-34, 49-58; Kato - abstract; fig. 2; fig. 6; col. 19 line 48 col. 20 line 3; col. 20 lines 40-55; col. 23 lines 4-41]

7. As to claim 8, Wise teaches receiving parameters at a video decoder, the parameters comprising a picture type indicator for indicating a type of a picture; [Pg. 51 ¶ 0682 Table A.3.2] and determining the validity of the parameters; [Fig. 23; Fig. 131; Fig. 127; Pg. 39 ¶ 0505, ¶ 0510; Pg. 142 ¶ 2073, ¶ 2079; Pg. 148 ¶ 2236] and calculating one or more addresses after determining the validity of the parameters, if the parameters are valid [Fig. 23; Fig. 131; Fig. 127; Pg. 39 ¶ 0505, ¶ 0510; Pg. 142 ¶

2073, ¶ 2079; Pg. 148 ¶ 2236], whether the picture is interlaced or progressive, [Wise -
¶ 0133; 2819; 3037; 3057; 3968]

Wise does not explicitly the logic determines whether the parameters received by the input are valid based on the picture type indicator whether the picture is frame predicted or frame predicted and the number of motion vectors received by the input.

Abelard teaches the logic determines whether the parameters received by the input are valid based on the picture type indicator received by the input. [col. 2 lines 50-56; col. 4 lines 25-34, 49-58]

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Abelard with device of wise allowing for improved coding and reproduction.

Wise (modified by Abelard) does not explicitly teach the logic determines whether the parameters received by the input are valid based on the number of motion vectors received by the input.

Kato teaches whether the picture is frame predicted or frame predicted [col. 31 lines 22-28; col. 32 lines 13-15, 40-42] counting the number of motion vectors received by the input. [abstract; fig. 2; fig. 6; col. 19 line 48 col. 20 line 3; col. 20 lines 40-55; col. 23 lines 4-41]

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Kato with the device of Wise (modified by Abelard) allowing for improved coding.

8. As to claim 9, Wise (modified by Abelard and Kato) teaches fetching pixels from the one or more addresses after determining the validity of the parameters, if the parameters are valid. [Pg. 163 ¶ 2587]

9. As to claim 11, Wise (modified by Abelard and Kato) teaches determining the validity of the parameters further comprises determining that the parameters are invalid if the type of picture is an I-picture and any motion vectors are received. [Wise- Pg. 51 ¶ 0682 Table A.3.2; Pg. ¶ 0160; Pg. 13 ¶ 0165; Pg. 18 ¶ 0220-0221; Pg. 117 ¶ 1595; Kato - abstract; fig. 2; fig. 6; col. 19 line 48 col. 20 line 3; col. 20 lines 40-55; col. 23 lines 4-41]

10. Claims 5-7, 12-15 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wise et al. (Wise) US 2003/0156652 A1 in view of Abelard et al. US 7,130,526 B2 further in view of Kato US 5,701,164 further in view of Kim et al. (Kim) US 6,215,823 B1.

11. As to claim 5, Wise (modified by Abelard and Kato)) teaches one or more motion vector registers for storing motion vectors received by the input; [Wise - Pg. 51 ¶ 0682 Table A.3.2]

Wise (modified by Abelard and Kato) does not explicitly teach the control register comprises one or more bits, each of which are associated with a corresponding one or the one or more motion vector registers, wherein the one or more bits are in a particular state, based on whether the corresponding motion vector register stores a motion vector.

Kim teaches the control register comprises one or more bits, each of which are associated with a corresponding one or the one or more motion vector registers, wherein the one or more bits are in a particular state, based on whether the corresponding motion vector register stores a motion vector. [Kim - Abstract; Col. 1 Lines 44-57; Fig. 1; Fig. 4; Fig. 6; Col. 6 Lines 8-12; col. 5 line 57- col. 6 lines 20]

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Kim with the coding device of Wise (modified by Abelard and Kato) allowing for reduction of errors in image reproduction and the speed of decoding.

12. As to claim 6, Wise (modified by Abelard, Kato and Kim) teaches the logic determines that the parameters are invalid if the control register indicates that the type of picture is an I-picture and any of the one or more bits are in the particular state. [Kato - abstract; fig. 2; fig. 6; col. 19 line 48 col. 20 line 3; col. 20 lines 40-55; col. 23 lines 4-41; Kim - Abstract; Col. 1 Lines 44-57; Fig. 1; Fig. 4; Fig. 6; Col. 6 Lines 8-12; col. 5 line 57- col. 6 lines 20; Abelard - col. 2 lines 50-56; col. 4 lines 25-34, 49-58]

13. As to claim 7, Wise (modified by Abelard, Kato and Kim) teaches the logic determines that the parameters are invalid if the control register indicates that the type of picture is a B- picture and less than two of the one or more bits are in the particular state. [Kato - abstract; fig. 2; fig. 6; col. 19 line 48 col. 20 line 3; col. 20 lines 40-55; col. 23 lines 4-41; Col. 1 Lines 44-57; Fig. 1; Fig. 4; Fig. 6; Col. 6 Lines 8-12; col. 5 line 57- col. 6 lines 20; Abelard - col. 2 lines 50-56; col. 4 lines 25-34, 49-58]

14. As to claim 12, Wise (modified by Abelard, Kato and Kim) teaches determining the validity of the parameters further comprises determining that the parameters are

invalid if the control register indicates that the type of picture is a B-picture and less than two of the one or more bits are in the particular state. [Wise- Pg. 51 ¶ 0682 Table A.3.2; Pg. ¶ 0160; Pg. 13 ¶ 0165; Pg. 18 ¶ 0220-0221; Pg. 117 ¶ 1595; Kim - Abstract; Col. 1 Lines 44-57; Fig. 1; Fig. 4; Fig. 6; Col. 6 Lines 8-12; Kato - abstract; fig. 2; fig. 6; col. 19 line 48 col. 20 line 3; col. 20 lines 40-55; col. 23 lines 4-41; Abelard - col. 2 lines 50-56; col. 4 lines 25-34, 49-58]

15. As to claim 13, Wise teaches a video decoder for decoding macroblocks, said video decoder comprising: a processor for decoding a set of parameters, [Abstract; Pg. 1 ¶ 0002] a picture type parameter indicating a type of picture; [Pg. 51 ¶ 0682 Table A.3.2] a motion vector address computer for determining the validity of the set of parameters, and calculating addresses associated with motion vectors if the set of parameters are valid; [Fig. 23; Fig. 131; Fig. 127; Pg. 39 ¶ 0505, ¶ 0510; Pg. 142 ¶ 2073, ¶ 2079; Pg. 148 ¶ 2236] and a video request manager for fetching reference pixels at the addresses calculated by the motion vector address computer, if the motion vector address computer determines that the set of parameters are valid, [Pg. 31 ¶ 0400; Pg. 163 ¶ 2587]

Wise does not specifically teach motion vectors indicating reference pixels associated with the macroblock and as to the logic determines whether the parameters received by the input are valid based on the picture type indicator whether the picture is frame predicted, field predicted, dual prime or 16x8 motion compensation and the number of motion vectors received by the input, motion vectors indicating reference pixels associated with the macroblock.

Abelard teaches the logic determines whether the parameters received by the input are valid based on the picture type indicator received by the input. [col. 2 lines 50-56; col. 4 lines 25-34, 49-58]

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Abelard with device of wise allowing for improved coding and reproduction.

Wise (modified by Abelard) does not explicitly teach the logic determines whether the parameters received by the input are valid based on the number of motion vectors received by the input.

Kato teaches whether the picture is frame predicted, field predicted, dual prime or 16x8 motion compensation [col. 31 lines 22-28; col. 32 lines 13-15, 40-42] counting the number of motion vectors received by the input. [abstract; fig. 2; fig. 6; col. 19 line 48 col. 20 line 3; col. 20 lines 40-55; col. 23 lines 4-41]

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Kato with the device of Wise (modified by Abelard) allowing for improved coding.

Wise (modified by Abelard and Kato) is silent as to motion vectors indicating reference pixels associated with the macroblock.

Kim teaches motion vectors indicating reference pixels associated with the macroblock. [Col. 1 Lines 44-57]

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Kim with the coding device of Wise (modified by Abelard and Kato) allowing for reduction of errors in image reproduction and the speed of decoding.

16. As to claim 14, Wise (modified by Abelard, Kato and Kim) teaches the motion vector address computer further comprises: an input for receiving parameters, the parameters comprising a picture type indicator for indicating a type of a picture; [Wise - Pg. 51 ¶ 0682 Table A.3.2] and logic for determining whether the parameters received by the input are valid. [Kim - Fig. 23; Fig. 131; Fig. 127; Pg. 39 ¶ 0505, ¶ 0510; Pg. 142 ¶ 2073, ¶ 2079; Pg. 148 ¶ 2236; Kato - abstract; fig. 2; fig. 6; col. 19 line 48 col. 20 line 3; col. 20 lines 40-55; col. 23 lines 4-41; Abelard - col. 2 lines 50-56; col. 4 lines 25-34, 49-58]

17. As to claim 15, (modified by Abelard, Kato and Kim) teaches the motion vector address computer further comprises: an arithmetic logic unit for calculating one or more addresses after the logic determines that the addresses are valid. [Wise - Fig. 23; Fig. 131; Fig. 127; Pg. 39 ¶ 0505, ¶ 0510; Pg. 142 ¶ 2073, ¶ 2079; Pg. 148 ¶ 2236]

18. As to claim 16, (modified by Abelard, Kato and Kim) teaches the logic determines whether the parameters received by the input are valid based on the picture type indicator and the number of motion vectors received by the input. [Kim - Col. 1 Lines 44-57; Kato - abstract; fig. 2; fig. 6; col. 19 line 48 col. 20 line 3; col. 20 lines 40-55; col. 23 lines 4-41; Abelard - col. 2 lines 50-56; col. 4 lines 25-34, 49-58]

19. As to claim 17, (modified by Abelard, Kato and Kim) teaches the motion vector address computer further comprises: a control register for providing the type of pictures [Wise - Pg. 51 ¶ 0682 Table A.3.2] and indicating the number of motion vectors received to the logic. [Wise - Fig. 23; Fig. 131; Fig. 127; Pg. 39 ¶ 0505, ¶ 0510; Pg. 142

¶ 2073, ¶ 2079; Pg. 148 ¶ 2236; ;Kato - abstract; fig. 2; fig. 6; col. 19 line 48 col. 20 line 3; col. 20 lines 40-55; col. 23 lines 4-41]

20. As to claim 18, Wise (modified by Abelard, Kato and Kim) teaches the motion vector address computer further comprises: one or more motion vector registers for storing motion vectors received by the input; [Wise - Pg. 51 ¶ 0682 Table A.3.2] and wherein the control register comprises one or more bits, each of which are associated with a corresponding one or the one or more motion vector registers, wherein the one or more bits are in a particular state, based on whether the corresponding motion vector register stores a motion vector. [Kim - Abstract; Col. 1 Lines 44-57; Fig. 1; Fig. 4; Fig. 6; Col. 6 Lines 8-12; Kato - abstract; fig. 2; fig. 6; col. 19 line 48 col. 20 line 3; col. 20 lines 40-55; col. 23 lines 4-41]

21. As to claim 19, Wise (modified by Abelard, Kato and Kim) teaches the logic determines that the parameters are invalid if the control register indicates that the type of picture is an I-picture and any of the one or more bits are in the particular state. [Wise- Pg. 51 ¶ 0682 Table A.3.2; Pg. ¶ 0160; Pg. 13 ¶ 0165; Pg. 18 ¶ 0220-0221; Pg. 117 ¶ 1595; Kim - Abstract; Col. 1 Lines 44-57; Fig. 1; Fig. 4; Fig. 6; Col. 6 Lines 8-12; Abelard - col. 2 lines 50-56; col. 4 lines 25-34, 49-58]

22. As to claim 20, Wise (modified by Abelard, Kato and Kim) teaches the logic determines that the parameters are invalid if the control register indicates that the type of picture is a B-picture and less than two of the one or more bits are in the particular state. [Wise- Pg. 51 ¶ 0682 Table A.3.2; Pg. ¶ 0160; Pg. 13 ¶ 0165; Pg. 18 ¶ 0220-

0221; Pg. 117 ¶ 1595; Kim - Abstract; Col. 1 Lines 44-57; Fig. 1; Fig. 4; Fig. 6; Col. 6 Lines 8-12; Abelard - col. 2 lines 50-56; col. 4 lines 25-34, 49-58]

Conclusion

23. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Mihara US 6,163,573; Kawaharada et al. US 2004/0105589.

24. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

25. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANNER HOLDER whose telephone number is (571)270-1549. The examiner can normally be reached on M-W, M-W 8 am-3 pm EST. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2621

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Anner Holder/
Examiner, Art Unit 2621

/Tung Vo/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621